

# Sheaf Cohomology

Cohomology is a measure of the obstruction for local things to "glue" to give global things. Often these local-to-global problems can be asked in terms of sheaves, so we would like a theory of sheaf cohomology.

To have a local solution, we might say that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves on a space, and asking for a global solution is to ask: is

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$$

also exact?

In general, the functor  $\Gamma(X, -)$  is only left exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

We want our cohomology theory to measure what goes wrong on the right:

## Abelian Categories and Injectives

An abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category in which we can form  $\ker f$  and  $\operatorname{coker} f$  (and therefore exact sequences), among other things.

Ex:  $\bullet$   $R\text{-mod}$ , the category of modules over a ring  $R$  is the prototypical example.

$\bullet$   $(X, \mathcal{O})$  is a noetherian space, then  $\mathcal{O}\text{-mod}$ , the category of sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules is abelian.

Since the functor  $\Gamma(X, -)$  is left exact, it will give rise to a theory of cohomology, (right exact  $\rightarrow$  homology)

Def: In any abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$ , an object  $I$  is called injective if  $\text{Hom}(-, I)$  is an exact functor.

Here are some properties of injectives:

Prop: (1)  $A$  is injective if and only if  $\forall i: B \hookrightarrow C$  each  $\beta: B \rightarrow A$  extends to  $\gamma: C \rightarrow A$  s.t.  $\gamma \circ i = \beta$ .

(2) Every  $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ ,  $A$  injective, splits.

(3) If  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact and  $A$  injective, then  $B$  is injective iff  $C$  is injective.

Property (1) is important because it will show that injective objects are "resolvent" objects for a left exact functor (projective objects have a dual property that makes them "resolvent" for right exact functors).

Resolutions

and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a subclass of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $A$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . A resolution of  $A$

in  $\mathcal{C}$  is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} I^0 \xrightarrow{\partial^0} I^1 \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \dots$$

where each  $I^i \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Given a resolution of an object  $A \xrightarrow{\text{in } \mathcal{C}}$  and a left exact functor  $\mathcal{F}$ , we may form the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}(I^0) \xrightarrow{\partial^0} \mathcal{F}(I^1) \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \dots$$

and define  $H^n(A, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\ker \partial^n}{\text{Im } \partial^{n-1}}$ , the derived functor sheaf

cohomology, i.e. the cohomology of  $A$  with values in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Also denoted  $R^n \mathcal{F}(A)$ , the right derived functors.

For this to be well defined, we need to know some things:

(A) • Every object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  has a resolution in  $\mathcal{C}$

(B) • The cohomology does not depend on the resolution.

(C) • We'd also want that  $R^n \mathcal{F}$  give a long exact sequence.

Let  $\emptyset$  be the class of injective objects in  $C$ .

Defn:  $\forall A \in C$ , if  $\exists A \hookrightarrow I$  with  $I \in \emptyset$  then  $C$  has enough injectives.

Ex:  $Ab$ , abelian groups, has enough injectives.

An abelian group  $G$  is injective  $\iff G$  is divisible.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}\langle G \rangle$  be the free abelian group generated by  $G$ .

There is a map  $\mathbb{Z}\langle G \rangle \xrightarrow{f} G$ ,  $\sum n_i g_i \mapsto \sum n_i g_i$  and let  $K = \ker f$ . Take  $\mathbb{Q}\langle G \rangle$  be the free  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module with basis  $G$  and let  $I(G) = \mathbb{Q}\langle G \rangle / K$ . Then  $I(G)$  is divisible and  $G \hookrightarrow I(G)$ ...

Ex:  $R\text{-mod}$  has enough injectives.

Let  $M \in R\text{-mod}$ . Then  $M \in Ab$ , so construct  $I(M)$ .

Then the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, I(M))$  is injective

and  $M \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, I(M))$ ,  $m \mapsto (r \mapsto rm)$ .

Fact:  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$  has enough injectives.

Theorem: Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the class of injective objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and assume  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough injectives. Then

- (a) Every object has a resolution in  $\mathcal{I}$
- (b)  $R\mathcal{F}^n$  is well defined and is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  cohomology of a resolution in  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- (c) We get LES.

proof: (a) follows from above.

(b) follows from properties of injective objects, use these to construct a chain homotopy.

(c) LES comes from standard diagram chase. ■

In this case we say that the injective <sup>objects</sup> ~~modules~~ are a resolvent family for  $\mathcal{E} \cdot \mathcal{F}$ .

Theorem: Injective objects are  $\mathcal{F}$ -acyclic.

proof: If  $I$  is injective, then we can use the resolution  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ , to compute  $R^n \mathcal{F}(I)$ . Then  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(I) \rightarrow 0$  is exact and so  $R^n \mathcal{F}(I) = 0 \quad \forall n > 0$ .

When  $C$  has enough injectives, then the derived functors  $R^n \mathcal{F} = H^n(-, \mathcal{F})$  are called cohomology of  $\mathcal{F}$  and are the universal solution satisfying

1)  $H^0(x, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(x)$

2) If  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$  is SES then  $\exists$  LES

$$0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow H^1(A', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(A'', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^2(A', \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Key Lemma: Let  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^\bullet$  be a resolution of  $A$  by  $\mathcal{F}$ -acyclic objects. Then

$$R^n \mathcal{F}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^\bullet)).$$

proof: Let  $K^n = \ker \{ \mathcal{J}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{n+1} \}$ .

$$0 \rightarrow K^p \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^p \rightarrow K^{p+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad p \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow R^q \mathcal{F}(K^p) \simeq R^{q-1} \mathcal{F}(K^{p+1}), \quad p \geq 0, q \geq 1$$

$$\text{and } R^1 \mathcal{F}(K^{n-1}) \simeq \frac{\mathcal{F}(K^n)}{\text{Im}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{J}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K^n))} \simeq H^n(\mathcal{J}^\bullet).$$

Hence by induction  $R^n \mathcal{F}(A) \simeq H^n(\mathcal{J}^\bullet)$ .  $\square$

In practice, we never use injective resolutions to compute cohomology, instead we use the key lemma to find an acyclic resolution!



Def<sup>n</sup>: Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a ringed space, and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Then

- (a)  $\mathcal{M}$  is called flasque (flabby) if  $\forall$  open  $U \subset X$ , the restriction map  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$  is surjective.
- (b)  $\mathcal{M}$  is called soft if for every closed  $Y \subset X$ , the restriction map  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{M})$  is surjective.
- (c)  $\mathcal{M}$  is called fine if  $\forall$  locally finite open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  there is a family  $\{\phi_i: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\}$ , such that  $\phi_i$  is supported in  $U_i$  and  $\sum_i \phi_i = \text{Id}$ .
- The family  $\{\phi_i\}$  is a partition of unity of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We will see that in "nice" situations, these sheaves are acyclic and so maybe used to compute derived functor sheaf cohomology.

Theorem: Let  $X$  be a <sup>inged.</sup> top. space, Let  $C = \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ .  
 $\mathcal{F} = \Gamma(X, -)$ .

(i) Injective  $\implies$  Flasque  $\implies \mathcal{F}$ -acyclic

(ii) If  $X$  is paracompact then

flasque  $\implies$  soft  $\implies \mathcal{F}$ -acyclic  
fine  $\implies$  soft

proof: omitted.

Ex: Let  $C^0$  be the sheaf of real valued continuous functions on  $X$ . If  $X$  is paracompact then  $C^0$  is fine.

Ex: If  $X$  is a  $C^p$ -differentiable manifold, then the sheaves  $C^p$  are fine.

Ex: Let  $M$  be a  $C^\infty$ -manifold. Let  $\Omega^p$  be the sheaf of  $C^\infty$ -differential forms on  $M$  of degree  $p$ .

The Poincaré lemma shows that

⑥

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves, where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the sheaf of locally constant  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions.

This is a resolution of  $\mathbb{R}$  by fine sheaves, and so

Theorem (De Rham):

$$H_{\text{dR}}^n(X; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^n(X; \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Ex: Let  $X$  be a CW-complex (paracompact)

and let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the constant sheaf on  $X$ .

Then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow S^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is a soft resolution of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $S^p(X; \mathbb{Z})$  denotes the "sheafified" sheaf of  $p$ -cochains with integer coefficients.

Thus

$$H_{\text{sing}}^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

This shows that derived functors are the "true" cohomology theory, and for sufficiently nice spaces and sheaves, we can find explicit resolutions to compute it.

## Applications

Coherent sheaf cohomology on Schemes via derived functors and Čech.